

**PCT**WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau

## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>5</sup>:</b> <b>C05G 5/00</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 94/26680</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 24 November 1994 (24.11.94)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/AU94/00238 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 9 May 1994 (09.05.94) <b>(30) Priority Data:</b> PL 8707 7 May 1993 (07.05.93) AU <b>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US):</b> THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW ENGLAND [AU/AU]; Armidale, NSW 2351 (AU). <b>(72) Inventors; and</b> <b>(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only):</b> LEFROY, Roderick, David, Bruce [AU/AU]; 1/176 Allingham Street, Armidale, NSW 2350 (AU). BLAIR, Graeme, John [AU/AU]; "Nioka", Dumaresq, via Armidale, NSW 2350 (AU). <b>(74) Agent:</b> F B RICE & CO.; P.O. Box 117, 28a Montague Street, Balmain, NSW 2041 (AU).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> AT, AU, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CZ, DE, DK, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, JP, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LU, LV, MD, MG, MN, MW, NL, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SI, SK, TJ, TT, UA, US, UZ, VN, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i>
<b>(54) Title:</b> IMPROVED FERTILIZER COATING PROCESS		
<b>(57) Abstract</b>  A process for coating fertilizer granules in which a mixture of adhesive and additive is provided and the particles of the additive in the adhesive are ground to a desired size. The mixture of adhesive and ground additive is then applied to the surface of the fertilizer granules and agitated to evenly coat the granules without agglomeration. Either subsequently or in combination with the agitating the adhesive in the coating is dried without agglomeration. The additive/adhesive mixture may be applied to the fertilizer granules by any method such as mixing in a stirred vessel or spraying.		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LV	Latvia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain			US	United States of America
FI	Finland			UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	France			VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon				

- 1 -

IMPROVED FERTILIZER COATING PROCESSTechnical Field

The present invention relates to a process for coating fertilizer granules and more particularly to a process for binding additives such as nutrients, herbicides, pesticides, ion exchange agents etc. to the fertilizer granules.

Background Art

Different agriculture systems have different demands with regard to fertilizers. All plants require a balanced supply of macronutrients and micronutrients that are essential for healthy plant growth. The total amount of each nutrient, the balance of nutrients and the time at which different nutrients are required varies for different plant species. In addition, the ability of soils to provide both the amount and balance of nutrients varies enormously. Climate also dictates not only the crop species which may be grown but also their growth rate and potential yield and, accordingly, the total nutrient demand. When ascertaining the nutrient requirements of different agricultural systems, therefore, the combination of plant species, soil type and climate must be considered. In some cases, it is also necessary to consider the nutritional requirement of animals since the nutrient demand of these animals in a system may differ slightly from the requirements of the plants in that system.

The effective and efficient use of fertilizers, therefore, is essential not only to give a balanced nutrient supply but also minimise the cost of the fertilizer relative to total farm income. By tailoring fertilizer input to nutrient demand, the farmer reduces the possibility of wasteful and costly over-fertilization as well as reducing the environmental impact of inappropriate fertilizer use, particularly the impact on

- 2 -

ground and river water quality.

Substantial benefits may be gained by improving the precision with which the plant/soil nutrient requirements are matched with the fertilizer applications. This  
5 precise matching may not be done by simply mixing standard products.

As information on the ability of different soils to provide nutrients and the nutrient demand of different plant species continues to improve, the ability to predict  
10 the nutrient demand of a particular agricultural system has also improved. As the precision in matching fertilizer supply to plant requirement has increased, so the demand for a greater number of multi-nutrient fertilizer products has increased.

15 Normally, the demand for different fertilizer products will be regionally based since, as one would expect, it is the local soil, climate and agricultural systems which will dictate the particular fertilizer requirements. It is, therefore, preferable that the  
20 manufacture of the particular fertilizer product is as near to the point of use as possible.

There are many fertilizer products currently available. World trade is dominated by a few products, however, including urea, diammonium phosphate,  
25 monoammonium phosphate, triple superphosphate and potassium chloride. These single or di-nutrient products may be used individually or combined with other additives. These products, or the raw materials from which they are made, may be used often in conjunction with  
30 minor fertilizer products, to manufacture or blend multi-nutrient fertilizers.

In the fertilizer industry, there are also many methods for coating granules with particular additives. These methods usually involve a chemical reaction between  
35 the chemical compounds in the granules to be coated and

- 3 -

the additives to be affixed to the granules. These methods, however, are specific to certain fertilizers and certain additives so that the desired chemical reaction may occur. The coating process is designed such that

5 there is a chemical interaction of the chemical constituents in the granules with the chemicals employed in the establishment of the coating and some of the nutrients to be incorporated into the coating, thereby ensuring that the coating is an intimate part of the

10 original granule.

Oil and wax have also been used to stick nutrients onto the surface of fertilizer granules but the aliphatic and hydrophobic nature of the compounds prevents them from establishing a tenacious coat on the surface of the

15 fertilizer granules.

Other methods for coating granules involve using binders to attach nutrients to fertilizer granules. For example, US Patent No 3353949 to American Cyanamid Company discloses a method for preparing a coated granular

20 fertilizer wherein the finely divided nutrient particles are mixed with the granular fertilizer and an aqueous solution of conditioner is added and mixed until adhesion of the nutrient to the fertilizer is attained. Similarly, in US Patent No 3938469 a falling curtain of granular

25 fertilizer is sprayed with a binder and then mixed with the finely divided nutrient particles.

These methods, however, have several disadvantages. Firstly, it is difficult to precisely estimate the amount of nutrient which will "stick" to a fertilizer granule, particularly if the nutrient has large particles.

30

In addition, it is difficult using the prior art processes to obtain a fertilizer granule with sufficient nutrient coating from which nutrient is easily released to the plant. To explain, if it is desired to coat the

35 fertilizer granules with say sulphur, in order to include

- 4 -

sufficient sulphur in a single coating, large particles of sulphur must be used.

The oxidation rate of elemental sulphur in the soil is inversely proportional to its particle size. In other words, in those cases where it is desirable to obtain a relatively rapid release of the elemental sulphur into the soil, the sulphur must be ground to a fine particle size. Dry grinding of elemental sulphur, however, needs to be done under an inert atmosphere to reduce the risk of explosion. Similarly, dry grinding of other additives may produce fine particles which pose a significant health risk, especially when inhaled.

Accordingly, the present invention seeks to provide an improved fertilizer coating process which offers a choice over the prior art and which, at least in the preferred embodiments, allows a fertilizer to be precisely tailored to suit the local soil, climate and plant nutrient demand.

#### Disclosure of the Invention

In a first aspect, the present invention comprises a process for coating fertilizer particles comprising the steps of:-

- (a) mixing a water soluble adhesive with a desired additive;
- (b) wet grinding particles of the additive in the adhesive to a desired size;
- (c) applying the mixture of the adhesive and the wet ground additive to the surface of the fertilizer particles;
- (d) agitating the fertilizer particles to evenly coat them with the mixture without agglomeration; and
- (e) drying the adhesive in the coating, without agglomeration.

Application of the mixture of adhesive and ground additive to the fertilizer may be accomplished by any suitable technique. One particularly preferred technique

- 5 -

is to provide a stirred vessel in which the adhesive/additive mixture and fertilizer granules are mixed in appropriate proportions. Alternatively, the fertilizer granules may be sprayed with the adhesive/additive mixture.

The present invention allows fertilizer products to be tailored to fit local demands by coating particles of fertilizer with any desired amount and number of additives. A wide range of types and amounts of additives may be incorporated in the fertilizer coating including different fertilizers, ion exchange agents, herbicides, pesticides, etc. The mixture used for the present inventive process comprises a suspension of additive, wet ground in a water soluble adhesive. In addition to the wet ground additive other materials may also be included in the mixture, for example dry ground or other particulate additives and/or additives which are soluble in the adhesive.

These other constituents may also be applied to the fertilizer particle in mixtures which do not include the wet ground additive.

By incorporating the additives into the adhesive prior to application on the fertilizer particle, the coating is tenacious enough to withstand the physical treatment encountered during transport while releasing the nutrients for plant uptake once supplied to the soil. This is particularly true when soil conditions of temperature and moisture are conducive to plant growth.

The present inventive method is also safe to operate. This is particularly important when hazardous materials such as fine elemental sulphur are included in the coating. As mentioned above, dry grinding of elemental sulphur may lead to an explosion and therefore needs to be done under an inert atmosphere to reduce the risk of an explosion. This requires significant capital

- 6 -

investment which may not be available for a small local operation. Wet grinding is a safe and relatively inexpensive method whereby the elemental sulphur is ground in a liquid. With the present inventive process, an  
5 additive, for example coarse elemental sulphur in the form of flakes, is mixed with the adhesive, wet ground to the desired particle size and applied to the fertilizer particle.

Other additives, whilst not explosive and therefore  
10 as hazardous as elemental sulphur, may produce fine particles when dry ground and these particles may pose significant health risks, especially when inhaled. Wet grinding will also reduce these risks.

The present inventive process is also cost effective  
15 since the cost of coating the fertilizer particles represents a very small percentage of the cost of the final product.

As mentioned above, the fertilizer particles may be coated with a plurality of layers of the same or a  
20 different mixture of adhesive and additive. If more than one layer of mixture is applied to the fertilizer, it is preferred that an agitating and/or heating step is provided between the application of each mixture layer in order to assist adhesion of a subsequent layer of  
25 mixture. It should also be understood that the inventive process does not apply only uncoated fertilized particles. The inventive process may equally be applied to a fertilizer particle already coated with a mixture of adhesive and a particular additive.

30 There are many types of fertilizer particles which may be used with the present inventive process. Granules or prill of urea, diammonium phosphate, monoammonium phosphate and triple superphosphate are particularly suitable. The additive(s) which may be incorporated in  
35 the mixture for coating of the fertilizer particle may



- 7 -

include nutrients such as elemental sulphur, molybdenum, copper, zinc, boron, iron, manganese, cobalt, selenium, magnesium, calcium and potassium, ion exchange agents such as zeolite, which may prevent the loss of plant available nutrients, particularly of ammonium, and/or control their rates of release to plants, and the addition of other agricultural chemicals such as herbicides and pesticides. These additives may form a solution or a suspension with the adhesive. The total amount of additive is preferably 0.1 to 30% by weight of a final product more preferably 0.5 to 20% by weight and most preferably 0.5 to 10% by weight.

The adhesive of the present inventive process is water soluble and selected such that the additive(s) will be readily released into the soil. Particularly preferred adhesives are alkali metal lignosulphonates and polyvinyl alcohol. Neutralised alkali metal lignosulphonate liquor, a by-product of the sulphite process used in paper production, is a particularly preferred adhesive for several reasons. It is an effective adhesive which is also easily available and relatively inexpensive. Also, neutralised alkali metal lignosulphate liquor may be easily mixed with additives. This is important when elemental sulphur is provided as an additive since elemental sulphur mixes easily with neutralised alkali metal lignosulphate liquor but is not easily mixed with water or many water based solutions.

As outlined above, the coating technique of the present invention is particularly advantageous when elemental sulphur is used as an additive. Elemental sulphur may be hazardous to handle as fine particles can result in explosions with fire producing very toxic fumes. The larger the particles size of the elemental sulphur, the lower the risk of explosion. Unfortunately, even coarse elemental sulphur may produce enough fine

- 8 -

particles during transportation to be a hazard.

To be effective as a fertilizer, elemental sulphur must be in the form of relatively fine particles since elemental sulphur must be oxidized to sulphate before it can be used by plants. Oxidation is carried out by soil bacteria such as Thiobacillus thiooxidans. The rate of oxidation is controlled by the parameters which control the population of the bacteria, such as temperature, moisture, pH, aeration and nutrition. Oxidation is also inversely related to the surface area and particle size of the elemental sulphur. To safely reduce its particle size, the elemental sulphur is mixed with the adhesive, such as lignosulphate liquor, prior to grinding.

As previously discussed, the oxidation rate of the elemental sulphur in the soil is inversely related to particle size. The present invention allows the particle size to be safely manipulated to control the rate of release of the sulphur or other additives from the coated fertilizer granule or prill so as to match the demand of the particular crop, climate and soil type.

Many additives, other than elemental sulphur also require grinding prior to coating on fertilizer particles. The evenness with which an additive is coated on fertilizer particles is, to a point, correlated with the fineness of the material. Wet grinding of most additives will reduce the health risks associated with dust, even when the dust is not explosive.

The present invention is also directed to a coated fertilizer particle produced by the aforementioned inventive process.

#### Brief Description of the Drawings

In order that the nature of the present invention may be more clearly understood a preferred embodiment of the present invention will now be described, by way of example only, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in

- 9 -

which:-

Figure 1 is a side elevational view of an apparatus for carrying out the process of the present invention; and Figure 2 is an end elevation of the apparatus of

5 Figure 1.

Mode(s) for Carrying out the Invention

The apparatus shown in Figures 1 and 2 comprises frame 10 supporting coater drum 30 on an upper tier, a mixing means 40 on a lower tier and a hopper 20 above the entry to the coater drum 30.

In use, hopper 20 is filled with fertilizer particles, such as granules or prill, which are fed under gravity to coater drum 30 by feeder tubes 21 (see Figure 2).

15 Mixing means 40 positioned on the lower tier comprises mixing tank 41 which contains the mixture of adhesive and ground additive. A stirrer 42 is preferably provided to prevent settling of the ground additive in the adhesive. Mixing means 40 also includes recirculating 20 pump 43 which is connected to a variable speed motor 44. This recirculating pump also ensures the mixture of adhesive/ground additive remains homogeneous.

Variable speed motor 44 is also connected by belt drive to rollers 31 positioned on either side of drum 30. 25 The drum 30 is tilted slightly downwards towards its exit so that as it is rotated by rollers 31, the fertilizer granules move from the entry toward the exit. Multiple sprayers 45 are positioned at the leading end of drum 30 to spray the adhesive/ground additive mixture on the 30 fertilizer granules as they pass along drum 30.

Drum 30 also preferably includes a scraper bar 32 which separates granules from the interior wall of drum 30.

Operation of the apparatus shown in Figures 1 and 2 will now be described by way of example only, with 35 reference to a process for coating triple superphosphate

- 10 -

granules with elemental sulphur. The final product consists of 10% elemental sulphur.

Firstly, flakes of elemental sulphur are mixed with neutralised sodium lignosulphate liquor and ground until  
5 the elemental sulphur in suspension passes through a 150 micron sieve but does not pass through a 50 micron sieve. The ratio of liquor to elemental sulphur depends upon the initial size of the elemental sulphur being ground, with the excess liquor being recycled. The final mixture used  
10 for spraying on the granules may have a ratio of 7:5 (w/w) of elemental sulphur to liquor. This mixture is placed in mixing tank 41.

Triple superphosphate granules are placed in hopper 20 and fed under gravity to drum 30. By way of  
15 example only, drum 30 may comprise a 60cm diameter, thick wall (15mm) polyethylene cylinder 2 meters in length. Drum 30 is preferably rotated at approximately 20 r.p.m. on a slight incline (4 degrees) downwards from horizontal. The triple superphosphate is fed to drum 30  
20 at a rate of approximately 10kg/minute.

The stirrer 42 and recirculating pump 43 are activated to ensure a homogeneous adhesive/additive mixture and the mixture is sprayed onto the fertilizer granules as they pass along drum 30. Preferably,  
25 sprayers 45 are positioned approximately 1/6th of a rotation in the direction of rotation from vertically downwards. The first sprayer is preferably approximately 10cm from the entry of drum 30. The sprayers are preferably supplied by individual peristaltic pump tubes.  
30 The variable speed motor 44 preferably drives drum 30, solid feeder 20 and the recirculating pump 43 as shown in Figures 1 and 2.

It is important that drum 30 is rotated at such a speed that the coated granules are agitated to allow an  
35 even coat of the mixture to be applied to each granule

- 11 -

without agglomeration. In order to assist such agitation, drum 30 includes a scraper bar 32 positioned adjacent its interior wall. As drum 30 is rotated, the coated granules rise up and contact scraper bar 32 which disengages the coated granules from the interior wall of drum 30 to roll the coated granules downwardly toward the bottom of drum 30. This continual turning over of the fertilizer granules provides the granules with an even coat of adhesive/additive mixture without agglomeration of the granules.

As the coated granules continue along drum 30, they are coated again with second and third additions of the same or a different adhesive/additive mixture by sprayers positioned 50 and 90cm from the entry of drum 30. The rate of application of a slurry in the three sprayers is adjusted to give approximately 135 ml/kg triple superphosphate.

It will be understood that sprayers 45 may use the same or different additives to coat the fertilizer granules. A different mixing tank may be used to supply each sprayer. After each coating, the fertilizer granules are agitated to ensure an even coat without agglomeration.

Once the desired number of coatings have been applied to the fertilizer granules, agitation of the granules is continued for the remaining length of drum 30. During this further agitation some of the water in the adhesive evaporates off and some is absorbed by the fertilizer so that the adhesive in the mixture substantially dries without agglomeration of the coated granules.

Heat may be applied to the coated granules to assist in drying of the adhesive if drum 30 is not of sufficient length. The coated granules may also be allowed to dry naturally with no additional heating. Of course, such a separate drying step may be unnecessary if for example, the drum 30 is longer than 2m or another means to maintain

- 12 -

agitation of the coated granules is provided.

An alternative to the abovementioned embodiment involves applying the adhesive/additive mixture to the fertilizer granule by means of a mixing vessel. In this embodiment, a mixing vessel (such as a blunger), is fed an adhesive/additive mixture and fertilizer granules, in appropriate proportions to each other. If the additive requires grinding prior to coating on the granule, the adhesive/additive mixture can be delivered to the mixing vessel directly from a wet grinder and the fertilizer granules delivered from a hopper. The use of such a stirred vessel allows a much higher ratio of additive to adhesive as compared with the aforementioned spraying embodiment. After the fertilizer granules have been mixed with the adhesive/additive mixture in the stirred vessel, the granules are fed to a rotating drum similar to drum 30 shown in Figures 1 and 2. This drum agitates the fertilizer granule/mixture to ensure even coating without agglomeration. Due to the higher ratio of additive to adhesive in the mixture, the amount of drying required in drum 30 is reduced. This embodiment makes possible the application of larger amounts of additive in the mixture coating.

With the aforementioned "spraying" process, hot air may be used to dry the coated granules. This not only adds expense to the process but increases the risk of ignition of any sulphur particles in the coating. The aforementioned alternative "mixing" embodiment allows one to increase the ratio of additive to adhesive in the coating mixture. For example, the preferred ratio of sulphur to lignosulphonate is 2:1 (w/w), wherein the lignosulphate used is a mixture of 90% water, 3% lignosulphonate and 7% other solids by weight. In this way, less water is used than the aforementioned "spraying" embodiment and, as some water is evaporated off during the

- 13 -

agitating stage through drum 30 and some is absorbed into the granules, the end result is a reduction in the need for heating to dry the coated granules. Indeed, as mentioned above, if the agitation continues for a  
5 sufficient time and/or the additive/adhesive ratio is high no separate drying step may be required at all.

It is also possible to combine the "mixing" and "spraying" embodiments. For example, one may first mix the fertilizer granules with the additive/adhesive mixture  
10 in a blunger and then spray the coated granules with the same or a different mixture of adhesive and additive.

In all these embodiments, the additive and the adhesive can be mixed and ground to the required size range prior to mixing with or spraying on the fertilizer  
15 granules in an appropriate grinder or mill.

#### Industrial Applicability

The present invention provides a process for coating fertilizer granules which is safe, relatively inexpensive to use and which allows an operator to specifically tailor  
20 fertilizer products to fit local demands.

The coating provided by the present inventive method strongly binds to the fertilizer products and the fertilizer granules/products produced by the inventive process have shown good results when testing their  
25 ability to release nutrients to plants.

The present inventive process may be used to produce a range of products. Urea, diammonium phosphate and monoammonium phosphate granules have been used instead of triple superphosphate. These products may be coated with,  
30 for example, a 10% coat of elemental sulphur, mixed coats of 10% elemental sulphur plus 10% zeolite, successive coats of 5% zeolite followed by 10% elemental sulphur and 10% zeolite followed by 10% elemental sulphur.

Further, while neutralised sodium lignosulphate waste  
35 liquor has been found to be quite effective in terms of

- 14 -

physical tenacity, agronomic availability and cost, the present inventive process may use several different types and amounts of adhesives.

From the foregoing, it should be apparent that the present invention encompasses an advantageous advance in the art or at least a commercial alternative to the prior art. Further, it should be clear that the present invention may be embodied in other specific forms without departing from the spirit or scope or the essential characteristics thereof. The present embodiment is to be considered in all respects as illustrative and not restrictive.



- 15 -


## CLAIMS:-

1. A process for coating fertilizer particles comprising the steps of:-
  - (a) mixing a water soluble adhesive with a desired  
5 additive;
  - (b) wet grinding particles of the additive in the adhesive to a desired size;
  - (c) applying the mixture of the adhesive and the wet ground additive to the surface of the fertilizer particles;
  - 10 (d) agitating the particles to evenly coat them with the mixture without agglomeration; and
  - (e) drying the adhesive in the coating without agglomeration.
2. A process for coating fertilizer particles in which  
15 the mixture, in addition to the wet ground additive, contains other constituents including additives which are soluble in the adhesive and/or other particulate additives.
3. A process as claimed in claims 1 or 2, wherein the fertilizer particles are coated with a plurality of layers  
20 of the same or a different mixture of adhesive and additive.
4. A process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the mixture is applied to the fertilizer particles by mixing in a stirred vessel.
- 25 5. A process as claimed in any one of claims 1-4, wherein the mixture is applied to the fertilizer particles by spraying.
6. A process as claimed in any one of claims 3-5, wherein an agitating and/or heating step is provided  
30 between applying each layer of the mixture.
7. A process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the additive comprises a nutrient, ion exchange agent, herbicide and/or pesticide.
8. A process according to claim 7, wherein the nutrient  
35 is selected from the group consisting of elemental

- 16 -

sulphur, molybdenum, copper, zinc, boron, iron, manganese, cobalt, selenium, magnesium, calcium or potassium.

9. A process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the fertilizer particles comprise a  
5 fertilizer selected from the group consisting of urea, diammonium phosphate, monoammonium phosphate and triple superphosphate.
10. A process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the adhesive comprises an alkali metal  
10 lignosulphonate and/or a polyvinyl alcohol.
11. A process as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the coated fertilizer particle contains 0.1 to 30% by weight of additive.
12. A process as claimed in any one of the preceding  
15 claims, wherein the coated fertilizer particle contains 0.5 to 20% by weight of additive.
13. A coated fertilizer particle produced by a process according to any one of claims 1-11.



2/2





FIG. 2

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU 94/00238

<b>A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b> Int. Cl. <sup>5</sup> IPC : C05G 5/00  According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC																						
<b>B. FIELDS SEARCHED</b>  Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC C05G 5/00  Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched AU: IPC as above; JOPAL: IPC as above  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base, and where practicable, search terms used) DERWENT; IPC as above; JAPIO STN: FERTILIZER, COATED, ADHESIVE OR POLYMER																						
<b>C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT</b>																						
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to Claim No.																				
X	AU-A-86456/91 (UNIVERSITY OF NEW ENGLAND), 28 April 1992 (28.04.92).	1-13																				
A	AU-B-15727/92 (651935) (THE O.M. SCOTT & SONS COMPANY), 17 September 1992 (17.09.92)	1-13																				
A	AU-B-14235/92 (652900) (THE O.M. SCOTT & SONS COMPANY), 3 September 1992 (03.09.92)	1-13																				
A	AU-B-49789/85 (588551) (SIERRA CHEMICAL EUROPE B.V.), 22 May 1986 (22.05.86)	1-13																				
<input type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.																						
* Special categories of cited documents : <table border="0"> <tr> <td>"A"</td> <td>document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</td> <td>"T"</td> <td>later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle of theory underlying the invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"E"</td> <td>earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</td> <td>"X"</td> <td>document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"L"</td> <td>document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</td> <td>"Y"</td> <td>document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"O"</td> <td>document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</td> <td>"&amp;"</td> <td>document member of the same patent family</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"P"</td> <td>document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			"A"	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle of theory underlying the invention	"E"	earlier document but published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone	"L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art	"O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&"	document member of the same patent family	"P"	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		
"A"	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle of theory underlying the invention																			
"E"	earlier document but published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone																			
"L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art																			
"O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&"	document member of the same patent family																			
"P"	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed																					
Date of the actual completion of the international search 5 August 1994 (05.08.94)		Date of mailing of the international search report <b>31 AUG 1994 ( 3 1 . 0 8 . 9 4 )</b>																				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU AUSTRALIAN INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY ORGANISATION PO BOX 200 WODEN ACT 2606 AUSTRALIA  Facsimile No. 06 2853929		Authorized officer  <b>C A BRICK</b> Telephone No. (06) 2832453																				

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**International application No.  
**PCT/AU 94/00238**

C(Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate of the relevant passages	Relevant to Claim No.
A	US-4369055 (TOSHIO FUJITA et al), 18 January 1983 (18.01.83)	1-13